

# Number of *Streptococcus mutans* and *Lactobacillus* in saliva versus the status of cigarette smoking, considering duration of smoking and number of cigarettes smoked daily

Marta Nakonieczna-Rudnicka<sup>1</sup>, Teresa Bachanek<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Conservative Dentistry with Endodontics, Medical University of Lublin, Lublin, Poland

Nakonieczna-Rudnicka M, Bachanek T. Number of *Streptococcus mutans* and *Lactobacillus* in saliva versus the status of cigarette smoking, considering duration of smoking and number of cigarettes smoked daily. Ann Agric Environ Med. doi: 10.5604/12321966.1228952

## Abstract

**Introduction and objective.** A large number of colonies of *Streptococcus mutans* (*SM*) and *Lactobacillus* (*LB*) cariogenic bacteria in the saliva show a high risk of dental caries development. Cotinine is a biomarker of exposure to the tobacco smoke. The aim of the study was assessment of the number of *Streptococcus mutans* and *Lactobacillus* in the saliva of non-smokers and smokers considering the duration of smoking and the number of cigarettes smoked daily. The number of *SM* and *LB* was analysed in relation to the frequency of oral health check-ups.

**Materials and method.** The investigated group comprised 124 people aged 20–54. 58 (46.8%) reported cigarette smoking; 66 (53.2%) reported they had never smoked cigarettes and had never attempted to smoke. Cotinine concentration in the saliva was assayed using the Cotinine test (Calbiotech), and the number of *SM* and *LB* with the use of the CRT bacteria test (Ivoclar Vivadent, Liechtenstein). Statistical analysis was conducted using Chi<sup>2</sup> and Mann-Whitney tests. Test values of  $p < 0.05$  were considered statistically significant.

**Results.** No essential correlation was stated between the number of *SM* and *LB* and the status of smoking, the number of cigarettes smoked daily and duration of cigarette smoking. Smokers who reported having dental check-ups at least once a year significantly more frequently had a small number of *LB* stated in relation to people who had dental check-ups to control their oral health less frequently than once a year.

**Conclusion.** The number of *SM* and *LB* in saliva does not depend on the smoking status, the number of cigarettes smoked daily and duration of smoking.

## Key words

*Streptococcus mutans*, *Lactobacillus*, cotinine, smoking

## INTRODUCTION

Dental caries is connected with the presence of acidogenic and acidophilic bacteria in dental plaque, especially *Streptococcus mutans* (*SM*) and *Lactobacillus* (*LB*) which produce acids from sugars found in food. The action of acids on enamel hydroxyapatites causes demineralization which may initiate the cariogenic process. Saliva, which is the environment of the oral cavity, affects the demineralization and remineralization processes, dissolves and eliminates sugars, has buffering capacity and antibacterial properties [1, 2].

A high number of *Streptococcus mutans* and/or *Lactobacillus* in the saliva is one of the risk factors of the cariogenic process [3]. *SM* bacteria initiate caries of the enamel and the surface of a tooth root. *In vitro* studies revealed that with a pH value of 5.0 *SM* and *LB* bacteria predominating, a further decrease of the pH value to 4.5 causes a further increase in their number, whereby *LB* bacteria increase faster than *MS* with  $pH \leq 4.6$  [4].

The biomarker of exposure to tobacco smoke is cotinine – nicotine metabolite in its half-life which is 16–20 hours in the

saliva. Cotinine concentration may be assayed in the saliva, plasma or urine. Cotinine half-life in the saliva and plasma are similar. Analysis of cotinine concentration in the saliva enables objective evaluation of exposure to tobacco smoke and verification of the survey data. Cotinine concentration in the saliva is related to the number of cigarettes smoked. Cotinine concentration in both in non-stimulated and stimulated saliva obtains similar values [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10].

Saliva present in the oral cavity is a mixture of secretions from the minor and major salivary glands and is called whole saliva. Non-invasiveness and the ease of saliva collection makes it a good diagnostic material. Saliva composition and salivary flow rate depend on the method of collection. The secretion of stimulated saliva is due to chewing, e.g. a paraffin cube, and causes an increased rate of salivary, whereas non-stimulated saliva is secreted with no influence on extrinsic factors [11].

## OBJECTIVE

The aim of the study was assessment of the number of *Streptococcus mutans* and *Lactobacillus* in the saliva of non-smokers and smokers, considering the duration of smoking and the number of cigarettes smoked daily. The number of *SM* and *LB* was analysed in relation to the status of smoking and the frequency of oral health check-ups.

Address for correspondence: Marta Nakonieczna-Rudnicka, Department of Conservative Dentistry with Endodontics, Medical University of Lublin, Lublin, Poland

E-mail: stomzach@wp.pl

Received: 21 February 2016; accepted: 27 October 2016; first published on December, 2016

## MATERIALS AND METHOD

**Study sample.** The investigated group comprised 124 people aged 20–54 who reported to the Chair and Department of Conservative Dentistry with Endodontics at the Medical University of Lublin. 58 (46.8%) reported cigarette smoking; 66 (53.2%) reported they had never smoked cigarettes and had never attempted to smoke. In the group of smokers, 53 (90.4%) answered all the questions in the survey questionnaire, and 63 (95.4%) in the group of non-smokers. From among 53 smokers, a duration of smoking of up to 10 years was stated by 29 (54.7%) smokers and more than 10 years by 24 (45.3%). The mean age of smokers was 31.8, in non-smokers – 29.0. Women constituted 65.5% of those investigated, men – 34.5%. 75.0% of the investigated lived in a city and 25.0% in the country.

The exclusion criteria from participation in the study were: pregnancy, chronic and co-existing diseases, permanent medicine taking, nicotine replacement therapy, cigarette smoking in the past, antibiotic therapy and professional application of fluorine within 3 months prior to the study. The study was approved by the Bioethics Board of the Medical University in Lublin.

**Salivary sample collection.** Non-stimulated mixed saliva was collected into Salivette test tubes (Sarstedt, Germany) between 09.30 – 11.30, 1.5 – 2 hours after a meal during 10 minutes. Test tubes were placed in the ice container with the temperature of 4°C and centrifuged at the temperature of 4°C for 12 min. at 3,000 r/min. The obtained supernatant was stored at the temperature of -75°C until assessment of the evaluated parameter.

**Assessment of cotinine in salivary samples.** Salivary concentration in non-stimulated mixed saliva was assessed with immunoenzyme method using Cotinine ELISA test (Calbiotech), according to manufacturer's instructions.

**Assessment of the number of o colonies of *Streptococcus mutans* (SM) and *Lactobacillus* (LB) cariogenic bacteria in the saliva.** Assessment of the number of SM and LB colonies was performed with the use of CRT bacteria test (Ivoclar Vivadent, Liechtenstein), according to manufacturer's instructions. Mixed saliva stimulated by chewing a paraffin cube was collected between 09.30 – 11.30, 1.5 – 2 hours after a meal, during 5 minutes. Saliva was collected into a plastic disposable cup by the method of spitting. Directly after saliva collection, the bacteriological test was performed. After opening the vial and removing the agar medium, an NaHCO<sub>3</sub> tablet was placed at the bottom of the vial, protective films were removed from 2 agar surfaces and they were thoroughly saturated with saliva using a disposable pipette. Agar medium was placed in a test vial which was closed, marked with the patient's number and date of material collection, and placed in a vertical position in an incubator (Cultura, Vivadent). It was incubated at the temperature of 37°C for 48 hours. After the appointed time, the vial was removed from the incubator and density of SM and LB bacterial colonies was compared with a standard pattern (<10<sup>5</sup> CFU/ml and ≥ 10<sup>5</sup> CFU/ml, low and high CFU/ml).

**Statistical Analysis.** The obtained results were submitted to statistical analysis with the use of Chi<sup>2</sup> and Mann-Whitney tests. Test values of p<0.05 were considered statistically significant.

## RESULTS

Analysis of the cotinine concentration in the saliva revealed a consistency with the status of cigarette smoking declared by the investigated. In the group of non-smokers, the cotinine concentration was undetectable; in the group of smokers, the highest value of cotinine concentration was 924.5 ng/ml (Tab. 1).

**Table 1.** Analysis of cotinine concentration (ng/ml) in the investigated group

Variables	Descriptive parameters					
	N	Mean	Me	Min	Max	SD
Cotinine Non-smokers	63	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Cotinine smokers	53	340.8	322.0	8.7	924.5	230.1
Age	116	30.7	25.5	20.0	54.0	10.3

N – total number of patients

Me – median

SD – standard deviation

The mean age in the non-smoking group was 29.9 years, and in the smoking group – 31.8. No significant correlation was stated between the status of cigarette smoking and age of those Investigated ( $Z=1.07$ ,  $p>0.05$ ) (Tab. 2).

**Table 2.** Status of cigarette smoking in relations to age of the investigated

Status of smoking	Mean age (in years)	N	SD	Me	Z = 1.07 (-) p>0.05
Never	29.9	63	10.1	25.0	
Yes	31.8	53	10.6	27.0	
Total	30.7	116	10.3	25.5	

(-) no differences  $p>0.05$

Analysis of the number of cariogenic bacteria revealed that in 28.6% non-smokers the value of  $SM \geq 10^5$  CFU/ml was stated, whereas in 71.4% the value of was  $SM < 10^5$  CFU/ml. In the group of smokers, the values were 39.6% and 60.4%, respectively. The number of LB colonies  $\geq 10^5$  CFU/ml of the saliva were stated in 42.9% of non-smokers and 49.1% smokers, whereas the value of  $LB < 10^5$  CFU/ml of the saliva of the investigated were 57.1% and 50.9%, respectively. No essential correlation was stated between the number of SM ( $\chi^2=1.58$ ;  $p>0.05$ ) and LB ( $\chi^2=0.45$ ;  $p>0.05$ ) and the status of smoking. However, a tendency of a higher number of SM bacterial colonies was observed in smokers (Tab. 3).

Analysis of the number of cariogenic bacterial colonies in relation to the number of cigarettes smoked daily – less than 20 cigarettes and 20 cigarettes and more – revealed, that the value  $SM \geq 10^5$  CFU/ml of the saliva occurred in 38.9% people smoking less than 20 cigarettes daily, and in 35.7% smoking 20 cigarettes and more daily. The value of  $SM < 10^5$  CFU/ml was stated in 61.7% and 64.3%, respectively. No significant correlation was stated between the number of SM and the number of cigarettes smoked daily ( $\chi^2=0.04$ ;  $p>0.05$ ). Assessment of the number of LB bacterial colonies revealed that the value of  $LB \geq 10^5$  CFU/ml of the saliva occurred in 47.2% who smoked less than 20 cigarettes and 57.2% who smoked 20 cigarettes daily. The  $LB < 10^5$  CFU/ml value was stated in 52.8% and 42.9%, respectively. No essential

**Table 3.** Number of *Streptococcus mutans* and *Lactobacillus* bacteria (CFU/ml of saliva) in the investigated group

SM Bacteria	Non-smoker		Smoker		Total		$\chi^2 =$ 1.58 (-) $p > 0.05$
	N	%	N	%	N	%	
High	18	28.6	21	39.6	39	33.6	
Low	45	71.4	32	60.4	77	66.4	
Total	63	100.0	53	100.0	116	100.0	
LB bacteria							
High	27	42.9	26	49.1	53	45.7	$\chi^2 =$ 0.45 (-) $p > 0.05$
Low	36	57.1	27	50.9	63	54.3	
Total	63	100.0	53	100.0	116	100.0	

SM number = high ( $\geq 10^5$  CFU/ml); LB number = high ( $\geq 10^5$  CFU/ml);  
SM number = low ( $< 10^5$  CFU/ml); LB number = low ( $< 10^5$  CFU/ml);  
% – percentage of the investigated

correlation was stated between LB number and the number of cigarettes smoked daily ( $\chi^2 = 0.40$ ;  $p > 0.05$ ) (Tab. 4).

**Table 4.** Number of SM and LB CFU / ml of saliva in relation to smoked cigarettes

SM bacteria	Number of smoked cigarettes/day						$\chi^2 = 0.04$ (-) $p > 0.05$
	less than 20 cigarettes		20 cigarettes and more		total		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	
High	14	38.9	5	35.7	19	38.0	
Low	22	61.1	9	64.3	31	62.0	
Total	36		14		50	100.0	
LB bacteria							
High	17	47.2	8	57.1	25	50.0	$\chi^2 = 0.40$ (-) $p > 0.05$
Low	19	52.8	6	42.9	25	50.0	
Total	36		14		50	100.0	

A high number of SM colonies was stated in 34.5% who smoked for up to 10 years and 40.9% who smoked for more than 10 years; there was a small number of SM, respectively, in 65.5% and 59.1% of the smokers. A high number of LB was stated in 41.4% who had smoked for up to 10 years, and 59.1% of the investigated who had smoked for more than 10 years; there was a small number of LB, respectively, in 58.5% and 40.9% of the smokers. No essential correlation was stated between the number of SM ( $\chi^2 = 0.22$ ;  $p > 0.05$ ) and LB ( $\chi^2 = 1.57$ ;  $p > 0.05$ ) bacterial colonies and the duration of cigarette smoking, i.e. up to 10 years and more than 10 years (Tab. 5). In the group of smokers, a large number of SM was stated in 36.4% of the investigated who reported for dental check-ups.

A dental surgeon checked the state of oral health every 6 months, in 18.2% of people reporting once a year and in 45.4% reporting less than once a year. The LB number was 23.1%, 26.9% and 50.0%, respectively. A low SM number was stated, respectively, in 47.2%, 27.8% and 25.0%, whereas there was a low LB number in 59.4%, 21.8% and 18.7%, respectively. Analysis of the SM number in relation to the frequency of oral health check-ups did not reveal essential correlations between the assessed parameters ( $\chi^2 = 2.6$ ;  $p > 0.05$ ). Smokers who reported having oral health check-ups every 6 months or once a year significantly more frequently had a small number of LB, compared to those who reported having oral health check-ups less than once a year ( $\chi^2 = 8.8$ ;  $p < 0.05$ ). In the group of non-smokers, a large number of SM was stated in 50.0% of those who reported to a dental surgeon every 6

**Table 5.** Number of SM and LB CFU / ml of saliva in relation to duration of smoking

SM bacteria	Duration of smoking (years)						$\chi^2 = 0.22$ (-) $p > 0.05$
	Up to 10		More than 10		total		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	
High	10	34.5	9	40.9	19	37.3	
Low	19	65.5	13	59.1	32	62.7	
Total	29		22		51	100.0	
LB bacteria							
High	12	41.4	13	59.0	25	49.0	$\chi^2 = 1.57$ (-) $p > 0.05$
Low	17	58.6	9	40.9	26	51.0	
Total	29		22		51	100.0	

months for a check-up on the state of their oral health; 38.9% reported once a year and 11.1% reported less than once a year. The LB number was 53.6%, 32.1% and 14.3%, respectively. A small SM number was stated in 58.3%, 33.3% and 8.3%, respectively, whereas LB was in 57.9%, 36.8% and 5.3%, respectively. Analysis of the number of SM ( $\chi^2 = 0.39$ ;  $p > 0.05$ ) and LB ( $\chi^2 = 1.60$ ;  $p > 0.05$ ) cariogenic bacterial colonies in the group of non-smokers in relation to the check-up of oral health state did not reveal any significant correlations between the assessed parameters (Tab. 6).

**Table 6.** SM and LB level (CFU/ml of saliva) in relations to oral check-ups

Frequency of oral check-ups Smokers group	Number of SM colonies/ml of saliva						$\chi^2 = 2.64$ (-) $p > 0.05$
	High		Low		Total		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	
Every 6 months	8	36.4	17	47.2	25		
Once a year	4	18.2	10	27.8	14		
Less than once a year	10	45.4	9	25.0	19		
Total	22		36		58		
Frequency of oral check-ups Smokers group	Number of LB colonies/ml of saliva						$\chi^2 = 8.81$ (*) $p < 0.05$
	High		Low		Total		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	
Every 6 months	6	23.1	19	59.4	25		
Once a year	7	26.9	7	21.9	14		
Less than once a year	13	50.0	6	18.7	19		
Total	26		32		58		
Frequency of oral check-ups Non-smokers group	Number of SM colonies/ml of saliva						$\chi^2 = 0.39$ (-) $p > 0.05$
	High		Low		Total		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	
Every 6 months	9	50.0	28	58.3	37		
Once a year	7	38.9	16	33.3	23		
Less than once a year	2	11.1	4	8.3	6		
Total	18		48		66		
Frequency of oral check-ups Non-smokers group	Number of LB colonies/ml of saliva						$\chi^2 = 1.60$ (-) $p > 0.05$
	High		Low		Total		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	
Every 6 months	15	53.6	22	57.9	37		
Once a year	9	32.1	14	36.8	23		
Less than once a year	4	14.3	2	5.3	6		
Total	28		38		66		

(\*) difference on the level of  $p < 0.05$



## DISCUSSION

Salivary tests enable assessment of the number of *SM* and *LB* cariogenic bacteria in the saliva of selected patients with a high level of bacteria, and monitoring the effectiveness of therapies resulting in a decrease in the number of bacteria. The tests are helpful in the evaluation of patients' dietary habits because the *LB* number positively correlates with the consumption of carbohydrates in the diet. In the future, prognostication of dental caries in patients based only on bacterial salivary tests is not to be recommended because dental caries is a multifactorial disease. The cariogenic process, among others, is influenced by saliva secretion and saliva composition, general state of health, exposure to fluorine, frequency of sugars consumption, and socio-demographic status [12, 13].

Nishikawara et al. [14] demonstrated essential correlation between *LB* level, the number of carious teeth and the number of proximal surface caries.

*SM* bacteria are a significant marker of the onset of primary and secondary dental caries while *LB* bacteria have a low ability to adhere to the enamel surface; however, they probably accumulate in marginal fissures of the filling. Therefore, there is a greater possibility for the development of secondary dental caries in people having numerous fillings [15].

Akpata et al. [16] revealed essential differences in the number of *SM* and *LB* bacteria in a group of people having at least 8 teeth with caries and in the group with no caries. They stated the number of *SM* at  $>10^5$  CFU/ml in 56.1% people with caries and in 11.1% people with no caries, for *LB*  $>10^5$  CFU/ml the values were, respectively, 71.4% and 19.4%.

Studies conducted in Turkey by Karaođlanođlu et al. [17] in a group of 133 people aged 20–50 demonstrated the number of *SM*  $\geq 10^5$  CFU/mL colonies in 69% of women and 65% of men, whereas for *LB* these values were 95% and 90%, respectively. The authors did not state any essential differences between DMFS (mean of decayed, missing, filled permanent surfaces) index and the *LB* or *SM* levels. The study was conducted with the use of CRT bacteria test (Ivoclar Vivadent AG, Schaan, Liechtenstein).

The results of the presented study revealed large number of *SM* colonies in 28.6% of non-smokers and 39.6% of smokers; for *LB* the values were 42.9% and 49.1%, respectively. No data was found in the available literature concerning correlations between the number of *SM* and *LB*, and the number of cigarettes smoked daily and smoking duration.

Studies conducted by Huang et al. [18] revealed that nicotine increases the formation of biofilm by the action of *SM* bacteria, and its metabolic activity on teeth surfaces which suggests the possibility of increased risk of dental caries in smokers.

Carrying out proper hygiene procedures in the oral cavity is an essential factor of caries prophylaxis and periodontal diseases.

Analysis of oral hygiene behaviours shows that smokers, in comparison to non-smokers, less frequently report for oral check-ups every 6 months, less frequently brush their teeth at least twice a day, less frequently use dental floss to maintain hygiene of interdental spaces as well as proximal surfaces, and they more frequently brush their teeth improperly [19, 20].

Dental caries is an infectious disease. Studies reveal that mothers who have a poor state of dentition have a high level

of *SM* in the saliva, and may transfer bacteria to their babies, e.g. by licking the pacifier [21]. Awareness of dental caries risk factors may result in the modification of pro health habits.

The results of the presented study demonstrate that smokers who reported for oral health check-ups every 6 months or once a year, essentially more frequently had a low number of *LB* in the saliva. It can be presumed that during oral health check-ups, the smokers obtained dietary instructions from their dental surgeon and information on proper oral hygiene procedures.

## CONCLUSIONS

The number of *SM* and *LB* in the saliva is not related to the smoking status, number of cigarettes smoked daily and duration of smoking. A low number of *LB* colonies was more frequently stated in the group of smokers who reported for dental check-ups at least once a year.

## Acknowledgement

The study was funded by government funds for science in 2010–2014, Research Project. Grant NN 403 111 739.

## REFERENCES

- Marsh PH. Dental plaque as a biofilm and a microbial community – implications for health and disease. *BMC Oral Health*. 2006; 6(Suppl 1): S14
- Llena-Puy C. The rôle of saliva in maintaining oral health and as an aid to diagnosis. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal* 2006;11: E449–55.
- Dziedzic A, Kubina R, Wojtyczka RD, Kabała-Dzik A, Tanasiewicz M, Morawiec T. The antibacterial effect of ethanol extract of polish propolis on mutans streptococci and lactobacilli isolated from saliva. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med*. 2013;2013:681891. doi: 10.1155/2013/681891.
- Takahashi N, Nyvad B. The Role of Bacteria in the Caries Process: Ecological Perspectives. *J Dent Res*. 2011; 90(3): 294–303.
- Benowitz NL, Jacob P III, Ahijevych K, Jarvis MJ, Hall S, LeHouezec J et al. Biochemical verification of tobacco use and cessation. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2002; 4: 149–159.
- Fu M, Fernandez E, Martinez-Sanchez JM, Pascual JA, Schiaffino A, Aguado A et al. Salivary cotinine concentrations in smokers in Barcelona, Spain: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health*. 2009; 320 <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2458/9/320>
- Etter JF, Vu Duc T, Perneger TV. Saliva cotinine levels in smokers and nonsmokers. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2000; 151: 251–258.
- Zevin S, Jacob P III, Geppetti P, Benowitz NL. Clinical pharmacology of oral cotinine. *Drug Alcohol Depen*. 2000; 60: 13–18.
- Bachanek T, Nakonieczna-Rudnicka M, Piekarczyk W. Stimulated and non-stimulated saliva as biological material in the assessment of cotinine concentration. *Prz. Lek*. 2015; 72: 493–495.
- Kamer B, Pasowska R, Grys W, Socha-Banasiak A, Kamer-Bartosinska A, Matczak-Rynkowska A et al. Pre- and postnatal exposure of children to tobacco smoke during the first four years of life – observations of the authors. *Ann Agric Environ Med*. 2104; 21(4): 753–759. doi: 10.5604/12321966.1129928
- Lee YH, Wong DT. Saliva: An emerging biofluid for early detection of diseases. *Am J Dent*. 2009; 8; 22(4): 241–248.
- Selwitz RH, Ismail AI, Pitts NB. Dental caries. *Lancet*. 2007; 369:51–59.
- Fontana M, Zero DT. Assessing patients' caries risk. *JADA*. 2006;137(9):1231–1239.
- Nishikawara F, Katsumura S, Ando A, Tamaki Y, Nakamura Y, Sato K et al. Correlation in cariogenic bacteria and dental caries in adult. *J Oral Sci*. 2006; 48: 245–251.
- Ito A, Hayashi M, Hamasaki T, Ebisu S. How Regular Visits and Preventive Programs Affect Onset of Adult Caries. *J Dent Res*. 2012; 91, suppl1: 52S–58S.
- Akpata ES, Al-Attar A, Sharma PN. Factors associated with severe caries among adults in Kuwait. *Med Princ Pract*. 2009; 18: 93–99.

17. Karaođlanođlu S, Akgül N, Akgül HM. The association between the DMFS index and levels of salivary *Streptococcus mutans* and *Lactobacilli* of subjects living in Erzurum, Turkey. *J Dent Sci.* 2010;5(2):70–74.
18. Huang R, Li M, Gregory R.L. Nicotine promotes *Streptococcus mutans* extracellular polysaccharide synthesis, cell aggregation and overall lactate dehydrogenase activity. *Arch Oral Biol.* 60, 2015, 1083–1090.
19. Bachanek T, Nakonieczna-Rudnicka M, Piekarczyk W. Stężenie kotyniny w ślinie w relacji do przeprowadzania zabiegów higienicznych w jamie ustnej. *Prz Lek.* 2014; 71 (11): 616–619.
20. Nakonieczna-Rudnicka M, Bachanek T, Kobyłeczka E. Częstość badań stanu zdrowia jamy ustnej w grupie osób w wieku 20–54 lata z uwzględnieniem statusu palenia papierosów. *Prz Lek.* 2015; 72 (10): 548–552.
21. Kloetzel MK. Referrals for Dental Care During Pregnancy. *J Midwifery Womens Health.* 2011; 56(2): 110–117.

