Disturbance of posture in children with very low lead exposure, and modification by VDR FokI genotype
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Abstract

Introduction and objective. Lead has a negative effect on the central nervous system (CNS), inter alia indicated by disturbance of posture. However, knowledge of the CNS effects at low exposure is insufficient. Individuals differ in vulnerability, possibly because of genetic predisposition. Polymorphisms in the δ-aminolevulinic acid dehydratase (ALAD) and vitamin D receptor (VDR) genes may modify lead metabolism and neurotoxicity.

The objective of the study was to determine whether very low lead exposure affects posture in children, and whether ALAD and VDR genotypes modify the effect.

Materials and methods. In 1996–2001, 108 (age 5–13, mean 6.9), and in 2008–2010 231 (age 5–11, mean 7.9) children from Poland were tested by posturography and blood-lead concentration (B-Pb; medians 50 and 36 µg/L, respectively). The children were genotyped for ALAD (RsaI, MspI), and VDR (FokI, BsmI, TaqI).

Results. There were correlations between posture and B-Pb (sway area with closed eyes: r =0.24, P<0.001; velocity: r =0.21, P<0.001). Significant effects (adjusted for the potential confounders height and mother’s education) were present already at (ln-transformed) B-Pb ≤50 µg/L [β (regression coefficient) for sway area 0.025, p=0.001], and even stronger at higher levels [β=0.006, p=0.06, respectively]). The ff carriers in VDR FokI polymorphism were more susceptible to the effect of lead on the balance system, while other VDR or ALAD genotypes did not significantly modify the effect.

Conclusions. Effects on CNS, as reflected by disturbances of posture, were present at very low lead exposure (B-Pb≤50 µg/L), and the effect was significantly greater at such low B-Pbs than at higher. VDR FokI significantly modified the effect.
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INTRODUCTION

Exposure to lead is a major risk for the central nervous system. One of the effects is disturbance of posture, which has been reported at high exposures, mainly occupational. Children appear to be particularly sensitive [1–5]. However, it is not known whether posture effects occur at the low exposures present in most developed countries after the reduction of pollution in the last decades [6].

Molecular mechanisms of lead neurotoxicity are complex [7, 8]. Oxidative stress is also a possible explanation [9]. There is a large variation in the susceptibility to lead exposure. Some of these differences may be explained by the genetic background [10]. In particular, polymorphisms in the δ-aminolevulinic acid dehydratase gene ALAD, the product of which is the major lead-binding protein in blood (and most likely in other tissues), has been shown to affect blood-lead [11, 12] at high exposure, and modify toxic effects on hem synthesis, as well as kidney [11] and peripheral nervous system [13] functions. Also, there might be genetic modification of lead toxicity on CNS; a protective effect for ALAD2 (the variant allele of polymorphism MspI, also called rs1800435); and ALAD RsaI [14] carriers on cognitive functions has been reported, though the findings have varied for different ages and exposed groups, CNS effects and genotypes [15, 16, 17, 18]. Further, there are indications that polymorphisms in the vitamin D receptor gene VDR (BsmI=rs1544410 [19, 20]; FokI=rs2228570 [19, 21]) also affect lead toxicokinetics. Moreover, one single study suggests that the genetic variant VDR TaqI modifies the CNS toxicity of lead [22].

OBJECTIVES

Though still limited, information on gene-environment interaction is potentially important, because it might reveal mechanistic aspects of lead toxicity, make it possible to identify vulnerable individuals, and explain differences in susceptibility between populations with different gene frequencies, aspects of relevance for risk assessment.

We here report on posture disturbance in children with low lead exposure, and potential modification by ALAD and VDR polymorphisms of the relationship between posture and B-Pb.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects
In 1996–2001, a cohort of 327 children was recruited in primary schools located in the vicinity of lead smelters in Upper Silesia, Southern Poland. The parent completed a questionnaire (information about sex, birth weight, apgar score, age, mother’s smoking during pregnancy, weight, height, development habits and medical history of the child, as well as family income and mother’s and father’s education), a posturographic examination was made of the child, and blood was collected for determination of B-Pb [5]. In 2006–2010, 108 (33%) of the children were re-examined, and a blood sample was obtained for genotyping. In 2007–2010, a new cohort of 231 children from the area was examined in the same way. Hence, the merged genotyped cohort contained 339 children (Tab. 1).

Table 1. Characteristics of the children cohorts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boys (%)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight [g], mean (range)</td>
<td>3.254 (1,700–4,900)</td>
<td>3.265 (1,500–4,450)</td>
<td>3.262 (1,500–4,900)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apgar score, mean (range)</td>
<td>8.9 (2–10)</td>
<td>9.5 (1–10)</td>
<td>9.3 (1–10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean (range)</td>
<td>6.9 (5–13)</td>
<td>7.9 (5–11)</td>
<td>7.6 (5–13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm), mean (range)</td>
<td>124 (106–154)</td>
<td>132 (105–157)</td>
<td>129 (105–157)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight [kg], mean (range)</td>
<td>24.3 (14.5–60.0)</td>
<td>29.6 (14.0–60.0)</td>
<td>27.8 (14.0–60.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother’s education (%)</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The study was approved by Bioethics Committee at the Institute of Occupational Medicine and Environmental Health, Sosnowiec, Poland. Written informed consent was obtained from one parent and oral from the child.

Postural stability
Exactly the same posturographic methods were used in all examinations. Sway (micromovements of the whole body, which were necessary for keeping erect posture) was quantitatively measured by a force plate with three orthogonal strain-gauge devices (Posturograph SWAY 7.0; Danish Development Products, Sneekersten, Denmark [5, 23]). Spontaneous sway was registered by an unsteady platform, which reacted on the changes of position/movements of body weight centre. Electric signals evoked by sway were registered and processed by computer software. The testing protocols included procedures limiting/switching off one or more systems for balance control: the subject stood during 30 s. with opened eyes and then 30 s. with closed eyes. Also, information from proprioreceptors was modified: standing on a foam pad (15 mm thick) added to the platform.

Six measures were calculated: 1. mean sway, 2. transversal sway, 3. sagittal sway, 4. sway area, 5. sway velocity, and 6. sway index. In total, 24 estimates were obtained for each subject. The examinations were performed blindly, i.e. the investigators knew neither B-Pb nor genotype.

Blood sampling
Two 4 mL samples of blood were obtained from the cubital vein into vacuum tubes (Vacuette®; Greiner Bio–Frackhausen, Germany) containing either lithium heparin for lead determination, or K3EDTA for genotype assessment. Blood samples were stored at -20 °C until analyses.

Blood-lead determination
B-Pb was measured with graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry [24], by a Perkin-Elmer 4100ZL instrument (Überlingen, Germany). The detection limit was 3 µg/L and the precision 4.4% (coefficient of variation). In 1996–2001, the laboratory participated in three interlaboratory quality controls [Center for Diseases Control and Prevention, Atlanta, USA (CDC); Nofer Institute in Łódź, Poland; and Instituto Superiore di Sanita, Rome, Italy], while in 2008–2010 it regularly participated in two proficiency tests (Lead and Multielement Proficiency, CDC; METOS Program, Instituto Superiore di Sanita), and fulfilled the requirements of the study organizers.

Genetic analyses
DNA was extracted from blood by the QIAamp DNA Blood Mini kit (QIAGEN, Hilden). Genotyping was performed as described elsewhere [14]. The following single nucleotide polymorphisms were analyzed for ALAD: rs1139488 (also referred to as Rsal), rs1800435 (MspI with the ALADI/2 as alleles); and for VDR: rs2228570 (FokI), rs731236 (TaqI), and rs1544410 (BsmI).

For ALAD rs1139488 and VDR rs1544410, assays based on polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism were used. ALAD rs1800435, VDR rs2228570, and rs731236 were determined by Taqman assays with allelic discrimination on an ABI7900 real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, CA, USA).

To ensure quality, in each run, control samples for each genotype, as well as blanks, were included. Five percent of the samples were reassayed for each SNP. The distribution of genotypes for ALAD and VDR showed no deviation from the Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (Fisher’s exact test). We excluded siblings in the genetic analyses.

Statistical analyses
Associations (Spearman’s rank coefficients=rs) were assessed between all 24 posturographic parameters and B-Pb. The parameters most closely associated with B-Pb were sway area, velocity and index, with closed eyes and without foam. We limited our main analyses to the two parameters sway area and velocity, which have usually been the most susceptible ones [25] for the further analysis (the rs cut off values was 0.18 and the significance level p<0.001). Their relationships with B-Pb did not deviate from recti-linearity as assessed by visual inspection (Fig. 1).

Then, potential confounders, effect modifiers and exposure-independent covariates [the child’s sex, birth weight, apgar score, age, height, weight, body mass index, and pressure in middle ear (both sides assessed by tympanometry); mother’s...
education and smoking during pregnancy] were tested for associations with B-Pb and posture. Variables statistically significantly associated with both, or only with posture, were selected for adjustments in the multivariate analysis.

For analysis of associations between posture, B-Pb and genotypes, parametric ANOVA test was used. B-Pb and posturographic findings were then natural log-transformed (ln) to fulfill the criteria for parametric testing. Trend tests were performed by analyzing genotypes as a continuous variable, assuming a linear relationship for having zero, one or two variant alleles, in relation to B-Pb and posture.

For further modeling, impact of explanatory variables (B-Pb, genotype and potential confounding factors) on outcome (posture), general linear models were used. The genotypes were dichotomized based on data-driven combinations of genotypes from inspection of plots of associations between posture and B-Pb, clustered for different genotypes that showed similar effects.

The statistical analyses were performed using STATISTICA 9.1 PL software (StatSoft, Inc. 2010). Statistical significance was considered at p<0.05 (two-tailed).

RESULTS

The median B-Pbs were 50 (range 26–193) and 35 (range 9–221) µg/L, respectively, in the two cohorts, 42 µg/L in the merged one.

There were significant differences in posturographic results between the two sub-cohorts (Tab. 2). For the merged cohort, the median sway area was 185 mm² (geometric mean=GM 82 mm²; range 0–2,040) and the sway velocity 13.2 mm/s (GM 13.4 mm/s; range 5.1–49). There were significant associations between both sway area and sway velocity and B-Pb in the unadjusted analyses (Tab. 3). B-Pb also displayed significant associations with mother’s education and her smoking during pregnancy, children’s appar score, height and weight. Further, both sway areas and velocities, on the one hand, correlated with age, height and weight, on the other. On basis of this, height (strongly correlated also with age r<sub>S</sub>=0.65, p<0.001) and mother’s education (inversely correlated with mother’s smoking during pregnancy, r<sub>S</sub>= -0.26, p<0.001) were selected for adjustments in the multivariate tests.

In the multivariate analyses, both posture parameters increased significantly with rising B-Pb (Tab. 4, Fig. 1). Such effects were present in both children with B-Pb ≤50 µg/L and >50 µg/L; the slope was significantly steeper in children with B-Pb ≤50 µg/L. The slopes differed statistically significantly for sway area with both open and closed eyes and sway velocity with eyes open, but not fully so with closed eyes (Tab. 4).

There were no significant differences in B-Pb, neither for ALAD, nor VDR genotypes, as previously published [11]. There were no significant main effects on posture parameters by ALAD or VDR genotypes. There were no significant associations between genotypes and the socioeconomic factors (not in table).

Sway area was selected for further analysis of potential modification of the relationship between posture and B-Pb (Tab. 5). There was a significant modification by VDR FokI – ff carriers tended to have higher sway area (Figure 2). Sway velocity displayed the same pattern (Tab. 5). No other VDR polymorphism, neither ALAD ones, significantly modified the relationship between posture and B-Pb.

Table 2. Posturographic findings. All differences between cohorts were significant (P<0.001; Mann-Whitney test)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Posture parameter</th>
<th>Eye mode</th>
<th>Median (GM)</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Median (GM)</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sway area</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>180 (185)</td>
<td>38–1,220</td>
<td>57 (55.8)</td>
<td>7–1,080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Closed</td>
<td>304 (320)</td>
<td>67–2,040</td>
<td>93 (11.2)</td>
<td>0–1,280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>185 (156)</td>
<td>0–2,040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sway velocity</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>11.2 (10.8)</td>
<td>5.8–29.7</td>
<td>8.0 (8.3)</td>
<td>3.8–32.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Closed</td>
<td>15.1 (15.7)</td>
<td>6.4–42.6</td>
<td>11.8 (12.4)</td>
<td>5.1–49.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*One result = 0 was set to 0.0001 in order to calculate GM. It changed the median value to 95.0 in the 2008/2010 and 186 in the merged cohort.
### Table 3. Associations (Spearman’s rank coefficients) in the merged cohorts between posturographic parameters, blood-lead concentrations (B-Pb) and potential confounders, effect modifiers and exposure-independent covariates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mother’s Education</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>B-Pb</th>
<th>Sway area (Eyes open)</th>
<th>Sway area (Eyes closed)</th>
<th>Sway velocity (Eyes open)</th>
<th>Sway velocity (Eyes closed)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother’s education</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.026</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>-0.41***</td>
<td>-0.12**</td>
<td>-0.077</td>
<td>-0.14**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking during pregnancy</td>
<td>-0.26***</td>
<td>-0.032</td>
<td>-0.022</td>
<td>-0.056</td>
<td>0.21***</td>
<td>0.16**</td>
<td>0.15**</td>
<td>0.20***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.20***</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight</td>
<td>0.15**</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.29***</td>
<td>0.24***</td>
<td>-0.080</td>
<td>-0.015</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>-0.0060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apgar score</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.28***</td>
<td>0.23***</td>
<td>0.27***</td>
<td>-0.18**</td>
<td>-0.079</td>
<td>-0.073</td>
<td>-0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>-0.026</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.54***</td>
<td>0.65***</td>
<td>-0.065</td>
<td>-0.22***</td>
<td>-0.17**</td>
<td>-0.16**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0.54***</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.81***</td>
<td>-0.20***</td>
<td>-0.21***</td>
<td>-0.15*</td>
<td>-0.20***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.65***</td>
<td>0.81***</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.23***</td>
<td>-0.23***</td>
<td>-0.15**</td>
<td>-0.20***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-Pb</td>
<td>-0.41***</td>
<td>-0.065</td>
<td>-0.20***</td>
<td>-0.23***</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.23***</td>
<td>0.24***</td>
<td>0.18***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* P<0.001, ** P<0.01, *** P<0.05

### Table 4. Multivariate modeling of the relationships between posturographic parameters tested with eyes closed and blood-lead concentrations (B-Pb) in the merged cohort

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Posture parameter</th>
<th>All subjects (N=339)</th>
<th>B-Pb ≤50 µg/L (N=224)</th>
<th>B-Pb &gt;50 µg/L (N=115)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sway area [mm²]</td>
<td>Intercept (U)</td>
<td>β (U per µg Pb/L)</td>
<td>Model (R² adj)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.4 (5.6; 9.3)</td>
<td>0.007 (0.002; 0.011)</td>
<td>P=0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sway velocity [mm/s]</td>
<td>Intercept (U)</td>
<td>β (U per µg Pb/L)</td>
<td>Model (R² adj)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.0 (2.4; 3.8)</td>
<td>0.002 (0.0033; 0.0043)</td>
<td>P=0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* β = regression coefficient, PE = point estimate, CI = 95% confidence interval. R² = explained variance. U=unit (mm² or mm/s).
* Adjustments were made for height and mother’s education.
* Model used: ln(sway parameter)=Intercept+(1(lnB-Pb)+(2(height)+(3(mother’s education).

### Table 5. Multivariate analysis of the modification by ALAD and VDR genotypes on the associations between posturographic parameter and blood-lead concentration (B-Pb)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymorphism</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>β (U per µg Pb/L)</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Interaction² P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PE (CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALAD Msp²</td>
<td>1-1</td>
<td>0.007 (0.002; 0.01)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALAD Rsd²</td>
<td>1-2 + 2-2</td>
<td>0.003 (-0.005; 0.01)</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.006 (-0.003; 0.004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALAD Rsd²</td>
<td>TT+TC</td>
<td>0.007 (0.002; 0.01)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALAD Rsd²</td>
<td>CC</td>
<td>0.006 (-0.003; 0.01)</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.001 (-0.002; 0.005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDR Bsm²</td>
<td>bb</td>
<td>0.008 (0.001; 0.01)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDR Bsm²</td>
<td>Bb + BB</td>
<td>0.005 (-0.002; 0.01)</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.001 (-0.001; 0.003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDR Fok²</td>
<td>FF + Ff</td>
<td>0.003 (-0.002; 0.008)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDR Fok²</td>
<td>ff</td>
<td>0.016 (0.008; 0.02)</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDR Taq²</td>
<td>TT</td>
<td>0.008 (0.001; 0.01)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDR Taq²</td>
<td>Tt + tt</td>
<td>0.01 (-0.19; 0.20)</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.0009 (-0.001; 0.003)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* β = regression coefficient, PE = point estimate, CI = 95% confidence interval.
* Adjusted for height and mother’s education.
* The analysis was stratified for genotype; the relationship between sway area and B-Pb for each genotype/genotype combination is presented (1): Model ln(sway area/velocity)=Intercept+(1(lnB-Pb)+(2(height)+(3(mother’s education).

### DISCUSSION

The main finding was an effect by lead exposure on posture, even at very low exposure (B-Pb ≤50 µg/L), and furthermore, that the effect was significantly greater at such low B-Pbs than at higher. Also, VDR FokI polymorphism significantly modified the effect of B-Pb on posture.

We included two different cohorts of children, in order to obtain a large range of exposure and more statistical power. Exactly the same methods for assessment of posture, blood sampling, lead analysis and genotyping were used in both sub-cohorts. There was a selection of children out of the original first cohort; not all could be found for new blood sampling to perform genotyping. The non-participation...
was mainly due to the fact that many of those children were now adults, and had moved out of the area. There is no reason to suspect selection as to posture, B-Pb or genotype. Certainly, the two cohorts differed significantly in both B-Pb and posturographic measures, but the latter was most likely because the toxic effect of lead had decreased with the reduction of exposure over time. Hence, it was judged relevant to merge the two cohorts in the final analyses.

The determinations of B-Pb were kept under strict quality control. So, the lower levels in the second cohort are not due to a systematic bias, but to the decrease over time seen in European children, mainly because of elimination of lead from petrol. Still, our children were fairly high in a European perspective [6], probably because of remaining exposure from local lead smelters.

We have limited our main analyses to the two posturographic parameters sway area and velocity, which have usually been the most susceptible ones [23]. However, the patterns were similar for most of 24 parameters assessed.

The present first sub-cohort is part of an earlier report on the relationship between posture and B-Pb [5]. Our findings in the second sub-cohort indicate that such effects occur at even lower B-Pbs, below those in other studies of posture in children [1, 2, 3, 4]. This supports the impression that posture is a very sensitive effect outcome in studies of neurotoxicity of chemicals [1]. Indeed, it seems that toxicity by lead on posture occurs at exposures in the same exposure range as effects on cognition [14]. Interestingly, in agreement with the present effects, cognitive impairment has been reported at B-Pb ≤50 μg/L [25]. Also, for unknown reasons, it seems that both effects are greater per unit B-Pb at this low exposure than at higher.

Of the total variance in posture, 2–4% was explained by B-Pb. Hence, lead may be considered to have a limited effect, as compared to other factors, like height, weight, age and socioeconomic factors, which were included in our analyses. On the other hand, on a population basis, the effect is important. Other authors suggest effects of vision impairment, drugs, medication (mainly aminoglycosides), alcohol use, right/left-handedness, middle-ear pressure [3, 5]. We were not able to include all these in our analyses, which may have limited our total explained variance. Also, we have data only on present B-Pbs, while the postural control might be affected by earlier (and probably somewhat higher) exposure [26, 27].

Though there were obvious effects of lead exposure on the balance system, in spite of our attempts to discriminate by variations in test conditions, our data do not allow to draw firm conclusions on which part of this complex function (visual, proprioceptors, vestibular) that was affected.

In a study of genetic modification of lead-induced cognitive effects [14], we employed the same cohorts of children. We then reported the gene frequencies, which were in accordance with expectations, and that the B-Pb in subjects with different genotypes did not differ. However, to support the interpretation of the present data, we still repeat the same information in the present paper. For a detailed discussion, including mechanistic aspects, we refer to the previous paper.

In particular because of the limited impact of lead, it is statistically demanding to demonstrate a genetic modification of the effect. Also, due to the rather limited number of children, there is a power problem, in particular for ALAD Mspl, for which the variant genotype is quite rare. For ALAD Rsal and VDR, the gene frequencies are more favorable from a statistical power point of view.

Still, we found a significant modification VDR FokI on the relationship between posture and B-Pb, while there was no significant effect modification by VDR BsmI and TaqI, neither ALAD MspI or Rsal. VDR is a ligand-regulated transcription factor and the influence of VDR on lead-related damage on the balance system may be due to the well-known interaction between lead and calcium, which is crucial for nervous cells. VDR FokI is located in the translation start of exon 2. The f allele produces a longer protein, with less transcriptional activity compared to the F allele, which may result in attenuated effect of vitamin D on calcium turnover and more toxic effects of lead on the CNS [28]. The frequencies of the f allele in European and Asian populations range 0.34–0.44 (in our study 0.46), while the lowest frequencies are in Africa (0.17–0.25) [29].

Only one earlier other study has analyzed the effect of genetic polymorphism on the association between posture and B-Pb; there were then some indications of modification by VDR TaqI, dopamine receptor D2 (DRD2-A) and N-acetyltransferase 2 (NAT2), in 82 children, but at ten times higher lead exposure than in our children; ALAD was not assessed [26]. There are also indications of a modification of other aspects of CNS toxicity by VDR TaqI [22]. We could not verify such an effect. However, the authors report fairly briefly their results.

We did not find significant effect modification by ALAD MspI or Rsal, in spite of the fact that genetic modification by ALAD MspI polymorphism of the toxicity of lead on CNS [15, 17, 18] and peripheral nerves [13], as well as by ALAD Rsal [14, 16] of lead effects on other functions of CNS than posture have been reported. However, the over-all pattern is complex.

CONCLUSIONS

We show an inverse effect by lead on posture, even at very low exposure level present in many developed countries, and furthermore, that the effect is greater at low than at higher B-Pb. The ff carriers in VDR FokI polymorphism were more susceptible to the effect of lead on the balance system.
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